(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 7283 of 2007

PETITIONER Satish Ramteke, aged 37 years, S/o Shri Bhagirathi Ramteke, Assistant Grade II, Janpad Panchayat Koilibeda, R/o Koilibeda (Pakhanjor) Tahsil – Pakhanjor District Uttar Bastar Kanker (Chhattisgarh)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Commissioner, Office of Development Commissioner, (Employment Guarantee Cell), Vikash Bhawan, Civil Lines Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Director, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, Directorate, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Collector, District Uttar Bastar Kanker (C.G.)
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat-Uttar Bastar Kanker District Uttar Bastar Kanker (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri J.N. Nande, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(10.12.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been suspended on fictitious grounds. He also

(30)

submits that there is a provision of appeal in the Rules itself, but the petitioner has visited the High Court instead of filing appeal.

In view of the fact that there is a remedy of appeal against the order of suspension, as contended by learned counsel for the petitioner and the remedy is efficacious remedy, I am not inclined to admit this petition at this stage.

The petition has no merit and the same is dismissed at the motion stage itself.

However, the petitioner shall be free to avail appropriate statutory remedy in this regard.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Shyna